

Guidelines for Reviewers:

1. Articles which do not fulfil the requirement for a research article will not be accepted for publication. Research article is a text presenting results of original research of empirical, theoretical or analytical character and which include publication title, surnames and names of the Authors, research methodology, course of the research process, its results and conclusions together with quoted literature (references).
2. Reviewers evaluate the manuscripts taken into consideration the following criteria:
 - contribution to the development of science;
 - significant character of the research and/or special knowledge of the matter based on personal experience;
 - article structure (content layout, indication of thesis/theses and consistent analysis aiming at its/their verification);
 - style, language;
 - results of the research and conclusions.

The review is ended by explicit conclusion regarding:

 - admitting the article to publication without correction (accept),
 - admitting the article to publication after correction suggested by the Reviewer (minor revisions),
 - admitting the article to publication after introducing significant changes (major revisions)
 - admitting the article to publication after introducing significant changes and corrections and re-passing the review process (for improvement and re-review)
 - or rejecting the article (reject).
3. Reviewers should disclose any conflicts of interest and in such a case to refuse to review the paper. Moreover, if they know at the stage of the review who is the Author of the paper they should inform about that fact the Editorial Board and refuse to review the paper too.
4. Reviewers are obliged to maintain confidentiality and not to use any information from the articles before their publication.
5. The Author has the right to refer to the Reviewer's suggestions by presenting his/her justified opinion to the BSP Editorial Board.